

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,966	09/05/2001	Peter Lea	874-35/AMK	3575
7:	590 05/21/2004		EXAM	INER
ADRIAN M. KAPLAN			SIEFKE, SAMUEL P	
c/o DIMOCK STRATTON CLARIZIO, LLP 20 QUEEN STREET WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 3202, BOX 102			1743	
TORONTO, ON M5H 3R3 CANADA			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/831,966	LEA, PETER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	10/10
	Samuel P Siefke	1743	KNO
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replent fix NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered time m the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on restr	riction requirement 2/10/04.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon	,		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 40-60 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 40-60 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or is/are objected.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,	NED 4 404(d)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,		` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application in App	ntion No ved in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		O-152)

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-39 and 61-78 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 51 recites the limitation "the biochip" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims **40-52** and **59-60** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nason (USPN 4,790,640).

Application/Control Number: 09/831,966

Art Unit: 1743

Nason discloses an improved laboratory slide for the analysis and testing of liquid specimens. A specimen application site at one exposed end of the slid receives a specimen thereon which is drawn via capillary action along the flow channel into contact with reagent coated beads. The beads may be coated with a reagent, such as an antibody (col. 1, lines 15-20). When the specimen containing an antigen of interest is introduced into the slide and flows through the channel to contact the beads, the antigen is deposited onto the beads by binding to the antibody on the beads. The beads are disposed in abutting relation, and the sample flows through the spaces between the beads by capillary action (col. 9, lines 35-44). A colorimetric change indicates of a positive or a negative reading for a given test procedure. (col. 8, lines 1-5). The reagent is printed onto the interior of the capillary channel (col. 7, lines 12-15). A determined volume of a fluid sample is applied to the capillary channel (col. 2, lines 47-54). Nason discloses that a plurality of capillary channels may be employed in order to analyze multiple samples (col. 7, lines 40-45; fig. 8). An elongated stretch of the flow channel follows the second reagent and may be associated with a variety of indicia, such as time markings, color indications, and the like for use in interpreting test stream from a final enlarged chamber within which the final test reading may be taken (col. 8, lines 25-34). With this arrangement, multiple reagents and multiple holding times can be built into a single laboratory slide. The laboratory slide is useful in performing a wide variety of clinical tests, a dip test (col. 9, lines 3-20).

Page 3

Art Unit: 1743

Nason does not teach recording results of the assay in a computer database and further applying algorithms to the data to generate profiles of one or more selected disorders and statistical information.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims **53-58** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nason (USPN 4,790,640) in view of Fisher et al. (USPN 5,656,046).

Nason discloses an improved laboratory slide for the analysis and testing of liquid specimens as discussed above.

Nason does not teach analyzing data and applying statistical or algorithms to the data collected.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,966

Art Unit: 1743

Fischer teaches a fully automated spectrophotmetric analyzer and method used for testing blood samples in the clinical laboratory for thrombosis and homeostasis properties. The method includes detecting a reaction in the well and measuring the data from the reaction, processing means for mathematically processing the measured data to evaluate a change in or magnitude of the measured data from the reaction in the well, and reporting means to report said results of the evaluation by the processing means (col. 3, lines 51-67; col. 20, lines 20-60). A bar code is used for identifying means while transporting the sample and reagent through the stations (col. 11, lines 48-60). It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art to modify Nason to include the sample analysis means of Fischer in order to provide a stand alone laboratory method that has sample preparation, optical inspection, data analysis and total quality control for precise analysis.

Page 5

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel P Siefke whose telephone number is 571-272-1262. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,966

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sam P. Siefke

May 12, 2004

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700 Page 6